	Case 1:20-cv-01288-AWI-SKO Docume	nt 62 Filed 07/15/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DIONTAE JOHAN DUNCAN,	No. 1:20-cv-01288-AWI-SKO (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
13	v.	RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING PLAINTIFF'S SEVENTH MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER
14	CALIFORNIA HEALTHCARE RECEIVERSHIP CORP., et al.,	(Doc. No. 58)
15	Defendants.	(Duc. 140. 36)
16		
17		
18	Plaintiff Diontae Johan Duncan is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> and <i>in forma</i>	
19	pauperis in this civil rights action. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge	
20	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On June 7, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations,	
22	recommending that Plaintiff's seventh motion for temporary restraining order (Doc. No. 56) be	
23	denied (Doc. No. 58). The findings and recommendations were served on Plaintiff and provided	
24	him 14 days to file objections thereto. (Doc. No. 58 at 4.) Plaintiff filed objections on July 5,	
25	2022. <sup>1</sup> (Doc. 60.)	
26	I pri tracecci a trace	
27 28	<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff indicated his objections were delayed by a recent move from one institution to another. Plaintiff states he did not receive the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations until after the 14-day objection period had lapsed. Thus, despite the untimeliness of Plaintiff's objections, the objections were reviewed and considered by the undersigned.	

## In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, including Plaintiff's objections, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations issued on June 7, 2022 (Doc. No. 58) are ADOPTED in full; and, 2. Plaintiff's seventh motion for temporary restraining order (Doc. No. 56) is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: July 15, 2022 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE

Case 1:20-cv-01288-AWI-SKO Document 62 Filed 07/15/22 Page 2 of 2